

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/757,901	0/757,901 01/14/2004		Tadaaki Oikawa	09619/100L114-US1	4763
7278	7590	02/24/2006		EXAMINER	
DARBY &		P.C.	BASHORE, ALAIN L		
P. O. BOX 5257 NEW YORK, NY 10150-5257				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1762	
			DATE MAILED: 02/24/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		4
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/757,901	OIKAWA ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Alain L. Bashore	1762
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was preply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timution and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	. the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
<ul> <li>1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 December</u></li> <li>2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b>. 2b) ☐ This</li> <li>3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under Exercise.</li> </ul>	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o  Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r election requirement.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplication as objected to by the Examine  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicate may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the l drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/757,901

Art Unit: 1762

## **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over European patent 540,058 (Osawa) in view of Arai et al in further view of Chen and Murayama et al.

Osawa discloses a method for manufacturing a magnetic recording medium comprising laminating a non-magnetic under-layer on a non-magnetic substrate (p 3, line 5-6), laminating a magnetic layer on said under-layer by forming an oxide layer on a surface, depositing a magnetic layer component comprising ferromagnetic grains and grain boundaries surrounding said grains (p 3, lines 6-13). There is subsequently laminated a protective film on said magnetic layer and a liquid lubricant layer on the protective film (p 5, lines 14-16).

Application/Control Number: 10/757,901

Art Unit: 1762

Osawa does not disclose:

exposure to an atmosphere of oxygen-containing gas;

magnetic layers may be repeated multiple times;

ferromagnetic grains and grain boundaries surrounding the grains.

Arai et al discloses exposure to an atmosphere of oxygen-containing gas during the manufacture of a magnetic recording medium (see abstract).

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to include exposure to an atmosphere of oxygen-containing gas during the manufacture of a magnetic recording medium because Arai et al teaches metal oxide films as common (col 1, lines 44-46).

Chen discloses that magnetic layers may be repeated multiple times (fig 1).

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to include magnetic layers that may be repeated multiple times because Chen teaches advantages of such (col 2, lines 15-35).

Murayama et al discloses ferromagnetic grains and grain boundaries surrounding the grains (see abstract).

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to include ferromagnetic grains and grain boundaries surrounding the grains because Murayama et al teaches controlling grain size for desired characteristics (col 2, lines 23-28).

### Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims of record have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alain L. Bashore whose telephone number is 571-272-6739. The examiner can normally be reached on about 7:30 am to 5:00 pm (Mon. thru Thurs.).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Meeks can be reached on 571-272-1423. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/757,901 Page 5

Art Unit: 1762

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alain L. Bashore Primary Examiner Art Unit 1762